1	MINUTES OF MEETING		
2	GRAND HAVEN		
3	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT		
4 5 6	The Regular Meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Grand Haven Community Development District was held on Thursday, December 2, 2021 at 9:07 a.m. in the Grand Haven Room, at the Grand Haven Village Center, 2001 Waterside Parkway, Palm Coast, Florida 32137.		
7	FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS – Call to Order/Roll Call		
8	Mr. McGaffney called the meeting to order and conducted roll call.		
9	Present and constituting a quorum were:		
10 11 12 13 14	1 Kevin Foley Board Supervisor, Vice Chairman 2 Michael Flanagan Board Supervisor, Assistant Secretary 3 John Polizzi Board Supervisor, Assistant Secretary		
15	5 Also present were:		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	David McInnes Scott Clark District Counsel, Clark & Albaugh, LLP David Sowell (via phone) District Engineer, DRMP, Inc. David Sowell (via phone) District Engineer, DRMP, Inc. Do Operations Manager CDD Office Manager Robert Ross Amenity Manager John Lucansky Assistant Amenity Manager Bart Kaplan Resident Denise Gallo Resident Bob Badger Resident	ing	
28 29	The following is a summary of the discussions and actions taken at the December 2, 2021 Grand Haven CDD Board of Supervisors Regular Meeting.		
30	0 SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS – Pledge of Allegiance		
31	Mr. Howden led all present in reciting the Pledge of Allegiance.		
32	2 THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS – Audience Comments (3-Minute Rule)		
33	Resident David Smith requested signage for bicyclist crossings on Colbert Lane.		
34 35 36 37 38 39	Resident Bob Badger discussed concerns with possible water drainage in the north parking lot expansion, and asked about an engineering report that would show where the water was going to go. He additionally noted that he had requested a diagram on this but had not received it as of yet. Mr. McGaffney stated that the diagram would be presented during this meeting. In response to a question from Mr. Badger, Mr. McGaffney indicated that the diagram would be made publicly available on the Grand Haven website in addition to being sent to Mr. Badger.		
40 41 42 43 44	Resident Bart Kaplan discussed problems he had been experiencing with wild hogs, noting that he had not received assistance from the HOA and that he had been advised to speak with the Board. He suggested raising the fence by the retention pond to prevent the hogs from damaging property. Mr. McGaffney stated that he would speak with Mr. Kloptosky regarding the options to resolve this issue and follow up with Mr. Kaplan.		

Resident Denise Gallo stated that she was on the HOA committee and explained that the HOA did not have the authority to do what Mr. Kaplan had requested regarding the fence. Dr. Merrill expressed that she felt the HOA should improve communication with residents.

Mr. McGaffney indicated that he would like to speak with Mr. Kloptosky and Mr. McInnes after the meeting to form a more robust plan regarding the hogs. Mr. Howden requested for the staff to report back to him with a recommendation on what the District should and should not do regarding this matter.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS - Staff Reports

A. District Engineer: David Sowell

This item, originally item B on the Fourth Order of Business, Staff Reports, was presented out of order.

Mr. Sowell discussed the parking lot expansion, noting that two motorcycle parking spaces were going to be converted to ADA parking spots. He noted a landscape plan had not yet been implemented but that the District had been permitted to utilize the stormwater treatment system that was already in place.

Mr. McGaffney asked if the landscape plan would need to be completed before sending the plan to the City of Palm Coast. Mr. Sowell stated that he would send it to the city with a plan of intent.

In response to a question from Mr. McGaffney, Mr. Sowell stated that he anticipated that he would send the plan to the City of Palm Coast in January. Mr. Sowell additionally informed the Board that the expansion plans had been submitted for review and that it could take up to 5 months for permits to be issued. He estimated that this project would go out to bid by Spring or early Summer.

Mr. Kloptosky explained that the previous Board had authorized the two motorcycle parking spots due to resident requests and asked if Mr. Sowell planned on relocating these spots. Mr. Sowell stated that the motorcycle parking spots could be moved elsewhere on the parking lot and suggested converting a regular parking spot into two motorcycle parking spots. Discussion ensued regarding potential locations for the motorcycle and ADA parking spots. The Board and staff additionally discussed options for the number of ADA parking spots. Mr. McGaffney directed Mr. Sowell and Mr. Kloptosky to use the Board's feedback to come up with a final plan for the parking lot to submit to the City of Palm Coast.

Mr. Howden requested clarification on whether the new parking lot design would prevent the drainage issue Mr. Badger had discussed. Mr. Sowell confirmed that this would be the case.

Mr. Flanagan asked if the new design would cause any changes to the swamp side by the parking lot once implemented. Mr. Sowell stated that he did not foresee any changes to the swamp side. He explained that the swamp water would drain through a pipe into the drainage network of Waterside Parkway and into the stormwater network. He added that the new design had the potential to lower the swamp water levels.

Mr. Sowell apologized for the incomplete crosswalk concepts and noted that the District would not be charged for the incorrect version that had been sent out. He assured the Board that he was aware of the due date and was working on getting these done. Mr. Sowell additionally provided an update on the Stormwater Needs Assessment presentation and explained that it was still in development but would be ready as soon as possible.

Mr. Howden asked if Mr. Sowell was aware of a grant that the District could obtain in order to pay for the Stormwater Needs Assessment. Mr. Sowell stated that he was not aware of a grant at this time but that this was being looked into.

 Mr. Foley requested for the installation of stop signs to be done as soon as possible. Mr. Sowell stated that he would show the crosswalk and stop sign plans to the City of Palm Coast as soon as they were completed. A brief discussion regarding the costs for the crosswalk and stop signs followed. Mr. Howden informed Mr. Sowell that he would not need to come back to the Board with the cost.

Mr. Foley asked for an approximate time frame for the crosswalk and stop sign installation to be able to give residents more information. Mr. Polizzi indicated that he would like for the Board to communicate with residents and keep them informed on the status of this project. Mr. Flanagan expressed concern for Mr. Kloptosky's increased workload, recalling a discussions relating to this at the previous meeting. Mr. McGaffney clarified that this project had already been in progress before the pause on new projects had been implemented. Mr. Kloptosky indicated that he did not have an issue with completing this project.

(Mr. Sowell left the meeting.)

B. Exhibit 1: Amenity Manager: Robert Ross/ John Lucansky

Mr. McGaffney commented positively on the QR code for the amenity center.

Mr. Flanagan brought up concerns regarding potential sunk costs for the tiki bar and new equipment. He expressed that he would like for the amount spent on this project to not exceed \$2,000.00. Mr. Kloptosky noted that a list of items with costs would be put together to be approved before the project moves forward.

Mr. McGaffney directed Mr. Ross and Mr. Lucansky to collect data on sales versus costs to bring back to the January regular meeting.

C. Operations Manager: Barry Kloptosky

Exhibit 2: Presentation of Capital Project Plan Tracker

Mr. Kloptosky asked for any questions on the Capital Project Plan Tracker. There being none, the next item followed.

Exhibit 3: Monthly Report

Mr. Kloptosky stated that the original crossings, curb, and gutter repairs were almost complete but noted that 12 additional locations needed repairs as well.

Mr. Flanagan asked if Mr. Kloptosky had a date from the contract on when they expected to have the curb and gutter repairs completed. Mr. Kloptosky stated that a date had been set previously for the end of November but that a new estimate was needed for the additional repairs. He indicated that he would speak with the contractor the next day for the estimate. Mr. Kloptosky estimated that the curb and gutter repairs would be completed by the first or second week of January.

Mr. Foley brought up supply chain issues with the pickleball and croquet courts and recommended for these issues to be communicated to the residents. Mr. Kloptosky stated that he had already spoken with residents about this matter and mentioned that he had informed them that the tentative start date for the croquet courts was set for the second week of December. Mr. Kloptosky noted that the canopy proposal he had received was significantly higher than the budget allowed and that he was looking into an alternative proposal.

Mr. Kloptosky additionally discussed the pickleball courts and stated that final inspections still needed to be done. He added that residents had expressed that they did not mind playing without canopies. Mr. Foley recommended for the general community to also be

133 kept up to date on the status of the pickleball and croquet courts. Mr. Kloptosky confirmed 134 that an e-blast would be sent out to residents about this project. 135 Mr. Howden requested for the District Manager to include the tentative dates for the 136 opening of the pickleball and croquet courts in his report to send to residents. Mr. Foley 137 suggested having Mr. Ross and Mr. Lucansky include this information in the Amenity 138 Report. 139 Mr. Kloptosky provided an update on the Creekside fishing pier repairs, noting that the 140 pier was still closed and that he was currently waiting for flex material to arrive. He added 141 that the material was expected to arrive that week. 142 Mr. Flanagan asked if the network security upgrades had been completed. Ms. Stepniak 143 indicated that the company working on these upgrades had been dealing with staffing issues 144 and that there was not an estimated completion date at this time. 145 In response to a question from Mr. Flanagan, Mr. Kloptosky stated that it would be about 146 \$4,000 to restock Pond 37. He recommended adding aeration to this pond to prevent midge 147 flies. Mr. Flanagan asked if a contractor could assess the ponds for midge flies or if a survey 148 could be sent out to residents regarding midge flies. 149 Mr. Polizzi requested an update on the bathroom renovations. Mr. Kloptosky stated that the doors and locks had been installed in the women's restrooms and that the men's 150 151 restrooms would be worked on within the next week. He noted that 4 of the doors needed 152 to be reordered and that he was waiting for a proposal from the tile and plumbing 153 companies. Mr. Kloptosky additionally recommended replacing the old lockers in both 154 bathrooms. Mr. Polizzi recalled that this project had been approved as a part of the Capital 155 Plan with a budget of \$150,000 for the tile and lighting fixtures. Mr. Kloptosky reviewed 156 the pricing increased for the tile and lighting fixtures, noting that the changes were due to 157 increased costs for material and labor over time. Discussion regarding going over budget 158 followed. Mr. McGaffney clarified that if the District went over budget for this project, the 159 project would have to be brought back to the Board to review. 160 Mr. Polizzi expressed concern regarding the total budget amount. He indicated that he 161 would like for the Board to keep current priorities in mind. Mr. McGaffney explained that if the Board went over budget this year, the budget would be amended to use more fund 162 163 balance. 164 Mr. Kloptosky noted that the new proposal for the croquet court awnings was \$16,000 over 165 budget. He stated that he spoke with the croquet players about this and relayed that they 166 would be in favor of doing one large canopy and two small canopies for now and adding two more the next fiscal year. He added that the Board could elect to move forward with 167 168 the awnings if they would like. 169 Mr. Howden commented positively on the ladies' room renovations. 170 Dr. Merrill expressed hesitance to spend an additional \$16,000 on the croquet project. Mr. 171 Kloptosky stated that he was currently waiting on a second proposal to see if it would be 172 more reasonably priced. 173 D. Exhibit 4: District Counsel: Scott Clark 174 Mr. Clark informed the Board that the District would not be able to enact a vaccine mandate for its 175 employees. He additionally brought up a new federal mandate that deals with federal money used 176 on projects. He noted that this could potentially affect FEMA reimbursements and that he would

keep the Board up to date as he received more information.

177

Mr. Clark also discussed a \$56,000 receivable from Escalante Golf. He explained that Escalante was required to reimburse the District fully or partly for water, the pumphouse, and landscaping around the parking lot. He stated he wrote a letter to Escalante about these outstanding invoices and was currently waiting to hear back. Mr. Clark additionally recommended changing where invoices are generated from and billing the golf course once a year in order to increase efficiency.

Mr. McGaffney clarified that not everything in accounts receivable was actually outstanding and that some bills were current. Mr. McGaffney confirmed that the \$56,000 from Escalante was outstanding. He additionally informed the Board that he had a meeting scheduled with the golf course manager later that day. He indicated that DPFG would be taking over generating invoices and collection efforts likely by the beginning of the next fiscal year.

Mr. Foley asked if the majority of the outstanding Escalante invoices were related to the pumphouse. Mr. Clark confirmed that this was the case. In response to an additional question from Mr. Foley, Mr. Clark stated that Escalante's counsel was copied on the email sent to Escalante's management.

Mr. McGaffney expressed confidence in the majority of the outstanding bills being paid.

Mr. Foley inquired about whether the District charged interest on late payments. Mr. Clark explained that they did not and recalled having an issue with the written agreement for the pumphouse in the past.

Mr. Foley asked how the Board was not aware of these outstanding invoices until this meeting. Mr. McGaffney stated that the previous management company had been aware of this and had made a collection effort. He additionally explained that the delay was due to the transition and waiting to get records from Wrathell Hunt. Mr. McGaffney reassured the Board that he would keep them informed of any outstanding invoices. Discussion regarding the responsibilities of the auditor and internal control ensued.

Mr. Flanagan stated that he noticed an ongoing issue with the pumphouse and asked who was responsible for its maintenance. Mr. Kloptosky explained that the company originally responsible for the pump house had not been taking care of it and the District had paid to repair it. He indicated that the District employed a company that now was responsible for maintaining the pumphouse.

Mr. Flanagan clarified that he was asking who would be responsible if the grass at the golf course died due to the pumphouse not being properly maintained. Mr. Clark stated that the District would be responsible. Mr. Kloptosky added that precautions were taken to prevent grass dying.

Dr. Merrill opined that the District meetings lacked organization and that some individuals had the floor longer and more frequently than others. Dr. Merrill additionally expressed that she felt that Escalante should make their payment in full. Mr. McGaffney clarified that his intent was to receive the full payment within the next 30 days but that he could not control the payment.

Dr. Merrill inquired about where the bills were sent. Mr. McGaffney stated that he would need to check on the invoice.

Mr. Polizzi expressed that he would like to discuss the strategic planning session and asked for clarification on how the billing for the golf course worked. Mr. Clark explained that the District owned the pumphouse and had an agreement with the City of Palm Coast to acquire their water.

E. District Manager: Howard "Mac" McGaffney

Mr. Polizzi requested further information on what the District was able to require in regards to mask mandates and social distancing. Mr. Clark stated that the District could recommend masks but that he felt that they would have difficulty enforcing a requirement.

Mr. McGaffney stated that he reviewed the audit from the previous year for the District and noted that the \$63,000 in receivables was documented. He explained that this was presented at the July 15 meeting and that two letters had been sent to Escalante since then.

Mr. Foley expressed that he felt the auditor should have informed the Board about the delinquent receivable. Mr. McGaffney explained that this was not the auditor's responsibility but added that the Board could request the auditor to do this.

(The Board recessed the meeting at 11:35 p.m. and reconvened at 11:53 a.m.)

Before moving on to the next order of business, Mr. Howden apologized to the rest of the Board in response to comments about meeting efficiency and stated that he would do better with this moving forward.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS - Consent Agenda Items

A. Consideration for Acceptance - The October 2021 Unaudited Financial Report

In response to a question from Dr. Merrill, Mr. McGaffney stated that on roll assessments were what was sent to the tax collector. He clarified that "on roll" meant that it had been submitted through the standard uniform collection method.

Mr. Flanagan recalled discussing reducing the number of banks at a previous meeting. Mr. McGaffney stated that this would happen in December and noted that efforts were already underway. In response to a comment from Mr. Flanagan, Mr. McGaffney stated that the operating account would be kept open in order for deposits to be made to SunTrust.

Mr. Foley inquired about the 3-month working capital on the balance sheet. Mr. McGaffney explained the SRF fund was separate from the general fund and has its own working capital component and unassigned component.

Mr. Howden asked what had happened to the unexpended capital money. Mr. McGaffney explained that whatever was not spent would drop the fund balance if it was underbudget.

Mr. Foley asked if the \$58,000.00 should be footnoted as potentially uncollectible. Mr. McGaffney stated that it would be collectible.

Mr. McGaffney discussed the tax collector line item for the general fund, explaining that this was not actually an expense and that the tax collector had already taken this out.

In response to a question from Mr. Clark, Mr. McGaffney indicated that he was working on a solution to have printed agendas ready by Friday. Mr. McGaffney indicated that \$11,300 had been budgeted for auditing services as there would be an RFP. Mr. McGaffney additionally discussed various line items relating to legal advertising, information and technology, and insurance.

Discussion regarding the costs of landline phones ensued. Mr. McGaffney requested to come back to this during Supervisor Requests.

Mr. McGaffney reviewed the rest of the financial report, noting that the Board had budgeted well for insurance. Mr. McGaffney additionally discussed the streetlight utility bill and explained that FPL had mistakenly sent the bill to Wrathell Hunt. He assured the Board that this was being worked out and that the bill would be paid once it was received.

Mr. Foley recalled a budget projection being suggested at the annual meeting and expressed that he would like to do this at the 6-month mark. Mr. McGaffney stated that he had spoken with Mr. Polizzi and agreed to include the 6-month projection with the proposed budget, in addition to updating the projection at 9 months.

Mr. McGaffney noted that about \$67,000 of the special revenue fund had been used and mentioned that the Osprey repairs would likely be reclassified as a capital project.

- Mr. Howden stated that the Board could expect the fund balance to go down by about \$300,000 and recommended that the Board keep an eye on this.
- Mr. McGaffney mentioned that the Board would be provided with a 3-year outlook on Operations & Maintenance in addition to the fund balance.
- Mr. Polizzi recalled previous discussions including a recap of financial activity across bank accounts with the financial reports and asked if this would still be happening. Mr. McGaffney confirmed that it would.
- 273 B. Exhibit 5: Consideration for Approval The Minutes of the Board of Supervisors Workshop Meeting Held October 21, 2021
 - C. Exhibit 6: Consideration for Approval The Minutes of the Board of Supervisors Regular Meeting Held November 4, 2021
 - Mr. Howden noted that he would like to discuss the review of vendors and vendor recommendations during Supervisor Requests.
 - On a MOTION by Mr. Howden, SECONDED by Mr. Polizzi, WITH ALL IN FAVOR, the Board approved all items on the Consent Agenda for the Grand Haven Community Development District.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS - Business Items

A. Discussion on Employee Vehicle/Mileage Compensation

Mr. McGaffney discussed the recent change in vehicle reimbursement policy for CDD employees using their private vehicles for CDD purposes. He explained the policy had been changed to a per mile reimbursement rather than a monthly vehicle allowance of \$166 a month to conform with current IRS guidelines but that negative feedback had been received from staff members. Mr. McGaffney indicated that his suggested proposal would revise this policy to add an \$1 an hour pay increase in addition to a \$250 incentive bonus compensation.

Mr. Kloptosky relayed the feedback, noting that staff members had indicated that even the old policy barely covered gas and did not cover maintenance costs for vehicles. He stated that most employees received less money under the new policy, excluding one employee who received slightly more.

Mr. Foley noted that the original policy of \$2,000 a year vehicle allowance had been in place for a long time and indicated that he would prefer to keep this policy.

Dr. Merrill expressed that she would like to go with the amended proposal that Mr. McGaffney recommended and asked Mr. Kloptosky if he knew how many miles employees were driving per week. Mr. Kloptosky stated that he would have to check on this. Dr. Merrill pointed out that employees would have to be driving 80 miles a week in order to be making the same amount of money they were making under the previous policy.

Mr. Kloptosky noted that before the District had vehicles available for employees to use, staff members had been using using their personal vehicles to pick up supplies. Mr. Kloptosky additionally mentioned that the \$2,000 annual allowance that was originally decided had not been based on actual data and was likely not enough, as prices for gas and maintenance had increased over the years. Mr. Kloptosky brought up his personal experience with the mileage reimbursement policy and confirmed that it was not enough to cover gas costs.

- Mr. McGaffney stated that the IRS recommendation for mileage reimbursement was 56 cents a mile and noted that the Board could go with a higher or lower amount if they would like.
- Mr. Flanagan expressed that he felt the policy was fine as it was, due to employees no longer using their personal vehicles for work. He recommended raising the mileage reimbursement amount.

- Mr. McGaffney explained that some employees did not drive as much as others and that from these employees' perspectives, their salary had been lowered. He recommended increasing the hourly rate of staff members to compensate for this but noted that the decision was up to the Board.
- Mr. Flanagan pointed out that as more vehicles were added, the dollar increase would need to be taken away. He suggested putting a \$1,000 a year allowance in place and viewing it as a sunk cost.

 Mr. McGaffney clarified that the Board was still obligated to pay the mileage reimbursement.
 - Mr. Polizzi stated that he would prefer to use Mr. McGaffney's suggested proposal. He discussed the benefits of using the mileage reimbursement program, including meeting federal obligations, handling taxes more openly, and gaining information on how much the vehicles are used. He noted that the employees would be making more money for the first year under the revised policy than they would under the original \$2,000 a year allowance.
 - Mr. Foley stated that he agreed with Mr. Polizzi. He requested clarification on whether employees would receive mileage compensation on top of the \$2,000 a year allowance. Dr. Merrill confirmed that they would.
 - Mr. Kloptosky indicated that he believed the staff would likely find the dollar pay increase to be adequate compensation. He noted that the mileage reimbursement would additionally address the concern about the fact that the original amount was not sufficient to cover maintenance costs.
 - Mr. Foley inquired about having a policy in place to require employees to use CDD provided vehicles when available. Mr. Kloptosky stated that employees have been complying with this.
 - Mr. Flanagan expressed concerns regarding costs, noting that a limit on mileage reimbursement could not be set. Mr. McGaffney explained that the dollar increase would go under the payroll portion, not the milage reimbursement. He noted that there was a percentage for overtime and indicated that this may be within the budget.
 - Dr. Merrill reminded the Board that Mr. Kloptosky had stated that he could check what mileage employees had reported for the month of November. Mr. Kloptosky noted that the data may not necessarily be consistent from month to month. Mr. Kloptosky additionally explained that employees frequently made long drives to various places outside of the community on work-related matters.
 - Mr. McGaffney presented a breakdown of the general fund, noting that it was an amount of \$14,000.00 in the vehicle allowance to represent 56 cents a mile. He explained that he accounted for overtime and that he believed this would be within the budget. Discussion ensued regarding where the money would be coming from. Mr. Howden confirmed that the money for 56 cents a mile was in the budget.
 - Mr. McGaffney clarified that new employees would be hired at a competitive rate and would not be receiving the additional dollar in pay.
- Mr. Flanagan asked if buying more vehicles was part of the Long-Term Plan. Mr. McGaffney confirmed that it was.
 - Mr. Polizzi stated that he was comfortable with the proposal and would like to move forward.

On a MOTION by Mr. Howden, SECONDED by Mr. Flanagan, WITH ALL IN FAVOR, the Board accepted the recommendation from District Management to revise the vehicle allowance policy to increase staff pay by \$1 an hour, in addition to giving staff members a \$250 incentive bonus, for the Grand Haven Community Development District.

Following the motion, Mr. Kloptosky reviewed employee mileage for the month of November, noting that the mileage ranged from 0 to 316 miles. Mr. McGaffney added that employees may come in after hours or use their own vehicles.

394

355 Mr. Polizzi requested confirmation on the details of the proposal. Mr. McGaffney confirmed that 356 he understood correctly. Discussion regarding withheld taxes ensued. 357 B. Exhibit 7: Consideration & Adoption of Resolution 2022-03, Adopting Internal Controls Policy 358 Mr. McGaffney reviewed the resolution, explaining that this would amend the verbiage of the 359 internal controls policy. 360 Mr. Foley expressed concerns regarding fraud and explained that he wanted residents to be assured 361 that embezzlement would not occur. Mr. McGaffney clarified that the auditor was an independent contractor and was completely separate from DPFG and Vesta. He indicated that he would 362 363 communicate with the selected firm to clearly convey what the Board was looking for. 364 Mr. Foley expressed that he would prefer a higher level of detail but indicated that he would be satisfied with an independent auditing firm. 365 366 On a MOTION by Mr. Foley, SECONDED by Dr. Merrill, WITH ALL IN FAVOR, the Board adopted 367 Resolution 2022-03, Adopting Internal Controls Policy for the Grand Haven Community Development 368 District. 369 Following this motion, Mr. Foley made a motion to recess the Regular Meeting in order to hold 370 the Audit Committee Meeting. 371 On a MOTION by Mr. Foley, SECONDED by Dr. Merrill, WITH ALL IN FAVOR, the Board recessed the Regular Meeting for the Grand Haven Community Development District. 372 373 (The Board recessed the meeting at 1:15 p.m. reconvened at 1:38 p.m.) 374 On a MOTION by Mr. Foley, SECONDED by Mr. Flanagan, WITH ALL IN FAVOR, the Board reconvened the Regular Meeting for the Grand Haven Community Development District. 375 376 C. Approval of Audit Committee's Auditor Selection 377 Mr. Clark stated that the Audit Committee had ranked DiBartolomeo, McBee, Harley & Barnes 378 as their first choice and Berger, Toombs, Elam, Gaines & Frank as their second choice. 379 On a MOTION by Dr. Merrill, SECONDED by Mr. Flanagan, WITH ALL IN FAVOR, the Board approved 380 the Audit Committee's recommendation of the accounting firm, DiBartolomeo, McBee, Harley & Barnes, 381 PA, and entering into negotiations for the firm to serve as the District's auditors for Fiscal Years 2021-2023 382 for the Grand Haven Community Development District. 383 SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS - Supervisor's Requests 384 Mr. Foley requested for the material for the December 9 workshop meeting to be distributed as early as possible. Dr. Merrill added that she would like to see information for the O&M budget 385 projection. Mr. Foley suggested estimating a dollar amount to serve as a guideline for the Board. 386 387 Dr. Merrill stated that she would like to postpone the meeting until the Board had Mr. Kloptosky's 388 input on capital planning. Mr. Kloptosky agreed and stated that he did not have enough time to prepare for the December 9 meeting. Dr. Merrill expressed she felt it was not a good use of time to 389 discuss what the Board wanted to do before knowing what was actually feasible. Discussion 390 regarding the O&M ensued. Mr. Foley noted that hiring additional employees greatly affected the 391 O&M budget. 392

Mr. Flanagan stated that he would still like to have the workshop meeting and pointed out the importance of prioritizing projects. Mr. Foley expressed that he felt the Board needed to have

403

404

405

406

407

408

424

425

- management's view on operating expenses and capital projects. He noted that the amount of money being spent over the next 10 years was expected to increase by over 40% by his calculations and indicated that a baseline was needed.

 Mr. Polizzi stated that he felt that the meeting would help give the Board a better understanding of where they are as a team and would project what the Board believes is important to have for the
- Mr. Polizzi stated that he felt that the meeting would help give the Board a better understanding of where they are as a team and would project what the Board believes is important to have for the community over the next 5 years. He explained that he would like to be able to give Mr. Kloptosky a shorter list of priorities to focus on.
 - Mr. McGaffney suggested that the Board agree on their list of priorities and indicated that he would be able to provide the Board with costs after the fact. Mr. Foley expressed he felt that this would be difficult for the Board to do without ballpark figures.
 - Mr. Flanagan pointed out that it would take staff a great deal of time to acquire numbers for everything currently on the list of priorities. He recommended narrowing down the list to 5 projects.
 - Dr. Merrill reiterated that she felt it was unrealistic to choose what projects to focus on without numbers. Mr. Polizzi disagreed, stating he felt it was important to decide on priorities.
- Mr. Foley noted it was possible his calculations were incorrect and requested that Mr. McInnes continue to use the same format that was used.
- Mr. Kloptosky stated that it would be very helpful for him if the Board narrowed down their list of priorities. He confirmed that this would otherwise be a lot of work for him to do. Mr. Foley stressed the importance of having Mr. Kloptosky's input on projects.
- Mr. Kloptosky recommended that the Board decide on priorities for the level of service for the community. He discussed cleaning and maintenance of streetlights as an example, explaining that the streetlights alone would be enough work to be a job for one person throughout the year. Mr. Foley suggested that Mr. Kloptosky provide costs for different levels of service.
- Mr. Kloptosky additionally brought up that the curbs at Wild Oaks were in poor condition and needed to be redone. He noted that contractors' costs to redo the curbs were extremely high and that the District did not have enough staff to handle this themselves.
- Mr. Howden asked Mr. Kloptosky to come up with his own list of priorities for the community and indicated that he would still like to hold the December 9 workshop meeting. He requested Mr. Kloptosky to commit to not let the Board provide him with too much guidance.
 - Dr. Merrill expressed that she would like for there to be better decorum during meetings and for the Board to avoid over-conversing.
- Mr. Flanagan requested clarification regarding a printer purchase proposal. Mr. Kloptosky stated that he had discussed this item with Ms. Stepniak and that a printer was not needed.
- Mr. Polizzi recommended that the Board reconsider the use of iPads. He also recalled requesting adding vegetation to the ponds in the Crossings. Mr. Kloptosky explained that Louise Leister had recommended not adding vegetation to some of the ponds, as they were already healthy, but noted that there was dead vegetation in some ponds that she was working on replacing.
- 432 Mr. Polizzi additionally stated that he felt oversight was needed on fund movements.
- Mr. Howden clarified that he would recommend having two vendor performance reviews a year and noted that he felt it was essential to have at least one written review annually. Dr. Merrill pointed out that Mr. Kloptosky interacts with the vendors on a frequent basis and indicated that she felt that a second performance review was unlikely to change the end result. Mr. Kloptosky agreed, stating that he would prefer doing one vendor performance review a year. The Board agreed for one written annual review of major vendors to take place, and for written recommendation for their respective service areas to be provided by the vendors at the time of review.

447

448

449

450

458 459

462 463

464

465 466

467

468

469 470

471

472

473

- Mr. Howden reiterated the importance of having at least one written review per year in which they would also receive recommendations and input from vendors. He indicated that one review per year would be agreeable to him if this was the rest of the Board would prefer.
- Dr. Merrill raised concerns regarding Mr. Kloptosky's workload and recommended looking into different processes within the CDD office or hiring an additional employee. Mr. Howden stated that this would need to be addressed in the operating budget.
 - Mr. Howden stated that he would like to have a different process in place for the following year's annual review. Mr. Kloptosky indicated that he would speak with the vendors to see what they would suggest.

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS – Action Item Summary

- A. The District Engineer will provide the Board with updated crosswalk proposals
- B. The District Engineer will be providing a Stormwater Needs Assessment Survey presentation
- 452 C. The District Manager will report back on the accounts receivable collection issue
- D. The District Manager will work with the CDD Operations Manager and return to the Board of Supervisors with a more robust hog trapping plan
- 455 E. Need to communicate with residents regarding placement of new stop signs at intersections
- F. The District Engineer and CDD Operations Manager will work on best placement of handicapped parking spots with respect to Villager Center parking lot expansion
 - G. Cash Flow Analysis beginning with next set of financial records
 - H. Make sure that recommendations by vendors during their review include budget impact information
- I. Need to inform District auditor of specific information the Board would like included as part of the annual audit
 - J. Place parking lot extension plans on CDD website and provide to resident Bob Badger

NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS – Upcoming Meeting Agenda Items/ Meeting Matrix

There was no discussion on the action items review.

TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS - Next Meeting Quorum Check: December 9, 9:00 a.m.

Quorum Check

All Board members confirmed that they would be present for the meeting, which would establish a quorum.

ELEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS - Adjournment

Mr. McGaffney asked for final questions, comments, or corrections before requesting a motion to adjourn the meeting. There being none, Mr. Howden made a motion to adjourn the meeting.

On a MOTION by Mr. Howden, SECONDED by Dr. Merrill, WITH ALL IN FAVOR, the Board adjourned the meeting, at 12:56 p.m., for the Grand Haven Community Development District.

- *Each person who decides to appeal any decision made by the Board with respect to any matter considered
- at the meeting is advised that person may need to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made,
- 476 including the testimony and evidence upon which such appeal is to be based.
- 477 Meeting minutes were approved at a meeting by vote of the Board of Supervisors at a publicly noticed
- 478 meeting held on $\frac{1/21/2022}{}$.

	Grand Haven CDD Regular Meeting	December 2, 2021 Page 12 of 12
479	David C. McShines	Mits Al
	Signature David C. McInnes	Signature Chip Howden
	Printed Name	Printed Name
480	Title: Secretary Assistant Secretary	Title: 🗆 Chairman 🗆 Vice Chairman